

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/725,453	Applicant(s) EBERT ET AL.	
	Examiner Jeff H. Aftergut	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

 (1) Jeff H. Aftergut.

 (2) Ira Schultz.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 September 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

28, 32, 46, 52-59


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed a need to correct the dependencies of claims 28, 32, and 46. Applicant agreed to these changes via examiner's amendment. Applicant also agreed to cancel the non-elected claims (52-59) via examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)